I have, for the last decade or so, had the privilege of following the youth policy sector in Southeast Europe from different arenas or viewpoints. (The terms «Southeast Europe» and «the Western Balkans» refer to the following entities: Albania, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, Kosovo, The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Montenegro and Serbia). And let it be said: The situation today — in terms of articulated government policy regarding young people — has certainly improved over the last ten years. With the adoption of the National Youth Strategy by the Serbian government in spring 2008, most countries of the Western Balkans now have articulated national youth policies. Ten years ago, this was completely absent. The only country in the region that does not have a national youth strategy is Bosnia-Herzegovina. However, the entity of Republika Srpska has such a strategy.

But this has so far had a limited effect on the ground. Young people still suffer from an outdated education system, massive youth unemployment (with 40-50 percent and more in some countries), few leisure-time opportunities and a poor family economy with almost non-existent opportunities to travel. The strict visa regimes of the European Union and other western countries only add to a feeling of isolation and neglect among young people in Southeast Europe.

Participation as a youth policy tool

There is of course no quick formula that will dramatically improve the situation of young people in a few years. Only a long-term strategic effort by responsible politicians and policy-ma-



But just as important as involving youth in developing youth policy, young people should participate in the implementation of such policy. This means that there must be permanent and institutionalised consultation mechanisms in place that allow young people to be in regular contact with authorities responsible for implementing the government's strategy for youth. Such consultation mechanisms can be annual youth conferences on youth policy, organised by the government; the establishment of and regular contact with a youth commission consisting of young people or regular contact between the government and the umbrella of non-governmental youth associations, typically called a (local, regional or national) youth council.

▶ The role of non-governmental youth organisations

In most parts of Europe, non-governmental youth organisations (NGYOs) typically play an important role as government partners in youth policy development. Every so often I hear the argument that this is unfair, since this gives youth that are organised a more privileged position over those that are not involved

in associative life. Therefore, the argument goes, youth organisations do not represent all young people and should therefore only be given a limited role as youth policy partners.

Long essays can be written (and indeed have been!!) in support of the claim that youth associations do have a legitimate role to play as government partners in youth policy development. All arguments cannot be elaborated on here but a central argument for involving NGYOs, however, is that they are democratic structures which allow them to form

democratic positions that represent the opinions of their members. So although it is recognised that they certainly do not represent all young people, they represent groups of young people instead of individuals, making it possible to reach out to and get the opinions of larger groups of young people. For this reason, national youth councils in particular, which are umbrella organisations of NGYOs, are seen as important partners in youth policy development.

The argument just presented assumes, of course, that nongovernmental youth organisations are in fact democratic structures. The idea is that all positions of an NGO represent the membership of the organisation. This assumption can be challenged, however, in particular in the countries in Southeast Europe (see below). But let me be clear on this point: To the extent that a youth organisation should have any claim to be involved in youth policy development, it is essential that it has internal democratic structures, democratically elected leadership and that its positions reflect the opinions of its members.

Those who argue that "organised youth" should not be given a more privileged position as government partners than "non-organised youth" imply that these youth groups are radically different from one another and that young people in NGYOs are somewhat of an "elite" or extra resourceful persons. I believe this is a wrong assumption. My experience is that young people will use the opportunities that exist. Those who involve themselves in a local youth club, join a choir or the scouts or a youth association promoting the environment, are as diverse and different as "unorganised" youth. What they have in common is an interest and dedication in one specific area.

Why youth participation?

Now that I have clarified what youth participation in youth policy means, and argued that non-governmental youth associations should be included as important actors in both youth

> policy development and implementation, the fundamental question still remains: Why is youth participation important? Shouldn't the tasks of developing and implementing policy be left to politicians and professionals? Should specific groups of citizens have the right to influence government policy? And furthermore, many young people do not have a developed understanding of politics, so why should they have a right to be listened to by government decision-makers? (Now, you may think that these are antiquated and silly questions, but I have indeed come

across them a number of times in my work as a youth policy advocate in the Balkans and elsewhere.)

Young people make up one of the largest demographic populations in any European country; this is also the case in the Balkans. (In Southeast Europe, Kosovo has the youngest population with 60% of the population being between 15 and 29 years old. Serbia, on the other hand, with one of the oldest populations in the Balkans, has 20% of its population in the same age category. Figures are from UNFPA and the Serbian National Youth Strategy.) The idea of involving young people in policy-development and decision-making on issues that have an impact on them is as such seen as a fundamental democratic right. It comes from a vision of young people as full citizens in society, and the right of citizens to have a say and to be involved in issues that have an impact on them. Democracy is not only about transparent

66 The idea of

involving young people

in policy-development

and decision-making

on issues that have

an impact on them is

as such seen as a

fundamental

democratic right.



and fair elections and majority rule. It is about everything that happens in between.

A central pillar of contemporary democracy is the concept of a strong civil society which will keep government and politicians accountable. An informed and engaged citizenry is the fundament of a civil society, and this is where the non-governmental associations can play a crucial role. By interacting with other people through associations and volunteer work we develop a sense of responsibility and sensitivity for our society and awareness for our fellow citizens. Non-governmental organisations promote citizenship. Democratic youth associations have the added function of serving as "schools of democracy", where young people participate in elections for the first time, learn about the concepts of representation and accountability and to

stand up for their own opinions. The development of democratic NGYOs should therefore be especially encouraged by any government.

The European institutions

The view of participative democracy described above is also shared by the Council of Europe, the institutions of the European Union and the United Nations system. They all have clear policies advocating the participation of young people in developing national

youth strategies and stress the role of non-governmental youth organisations in youth policy decision-making. The enlargement of the European Union to include most of the countries in the former "communist bloc" has resulted in a comprehensive development of non-governmental associations and civil society in these countries. Functioning national youth councils now exist in most EU member states. Mechanisms of involving young people have been developed, and NGYOs play an important role as government partners in youth policy-making.

Youth organisations in Southeast Europe

So, what is the situation in Southeast Europe? Is youth participation in youth policy a recognised concept, and are nongovernmental youth organisations involved in youth policy development? Unfortunately, non-governmental youth associations in Southeast Europe are typically weak. They are most often not membership-based, but run by individuals or small groups of people that mobilise their peers whenever

they receive funding to do a project or otherwise organise an activity. They are project-oriented and compete for the same limited project funds. This leads to a high level of competition, rivalry, suspicion and a lack of cooperation and partnership. Decisions taken by the leadership are rarely challenged, and the organisations often have a weak democratic culture and are often outright undemocratic. As a result, there are very few national youth organisations, and representative national youth councils do not exist in any of the countries. The situation has not changed much in the last ten years.

The absence of a strong and coordinated NGYO lobby to push for the development of youth policy meant that there was little domestic pressure for the government to develop youth policy. It was instead the European Commission and the Council

of Europe which, through their relations with the Balkan countries, made them understand the need to develop national youth strategies. The Stability Pact Working Group on Young People, effective from year 2000 until 2002, also played an important role in promoting national youth policy action plans in the region.

is perceived as a strategy for empowering young people and for letting them realise their full potential as full citizens in society, there is plenty of room for youth participation...

Successful lobbying in Serbia

The exception in this regard is Serbia. For several years, the Serbian government gave little

attention to youth policy issues at all, and by mid-2005 there was no government authority responsible for youth. While the other countries in the region developed national youth strategies, Serbia remained at status quo. This finally caused the largest NGYOs in the country to take action. Together they established the Youth Coalition, which conducted a long and effective lobby campaign towards the government. They had two demands: A government authority responsible for youth and a national youth strategy. Today, even government officials admit that the establishment of the Ministry of Youth and Sport in May 2007 is at least partially as a result of this campaign. And the new ministry made the development of a national youth strategy its first and overriding task. So youth organisations in the Balkans can certainly be influential if they act in a strong and coordinated manner!

Vision of youth policy

A government's own vision of what youth policy is and why it is important is an essential determinant of the level of youth

